

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/227,593	BESSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Mark Skoog.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 December 2003

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45, 46, 84, 85, 108, 110, 114, 116, 118 and 120

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Skoog that claims 45 and 84 already contain the limitations of claims 46 and 85; claim 108 should be amended to correct EDTA; claims 110, 114, 116, 118, 1120 should be amended to clarify the type of phosphate compound and in claim 114, the second quat compound should be deleted..